

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION**

NEODRON LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

HP INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 6:19-cv-00397-ADA

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT AGAINST HP INC.**

This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the United States of America, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, in which Plaintiff Neodron Ltd. (“Plaintiff” or “Neodron”) makes the following allegations against Defendant HP Inc. (“Defendant”):

**INTRODUCTION**

1. This complaint arises from Defendant’s unlawful infringement of the following United States patents owned by Neodron, each of which generally relate to touchscreen technology: United States Patent Nos. 8,102,286 (“’286 Patent”); 8,847,898 (“’898 Patent”); 8,451,237 (“’237 Patent”); 8,502,547 (“’547 Patent”); 8,946,574 (“’574 Patent”); 9,086,770 (“’770 Patent”); 10,088,960 (“’960 Patent”); and 7,821,502 (“’502 Patent”) (collectively, the “Asserted Patents”).

2. Touchscreen technology plays a ubiquitous and important role in countless electronic devices today. Beyond just providing greater usability to smartphones, tablets, and notebooks, touchscreens now fill our lives in public and private spaces, from our homes and cars to the restaurants and stores we visit.

3. But just a few decades ago, touchscreen technology could only be found in science fiction books and film. Although the underlying science behind touch technology can be traced back to the 1940s, working touchscreens were not conceived and feasible until the mid-1960s, when the first finger-driven touchscreen was invented by E.A. Johnson in 1965 at the Royal Radar Establishment in Malvern, United Kingdom. Since then, it took several generations and major technological advancements for touchscreens to achieve the level of complexity—and convenience—we see and enjoy today.

4. Built on the fundamental breakthrough that our hands and fingers can form changes in the capacitance of electrodes and electrode-connections when they are in close proximity to them, touch technology has developed rapidly over the years. Along the way, engineers have worked tirelessly to try to overcome the limitations and roadblocks touch technology presents. From conceiving various ways to detect (and correctly ignore) unintentional touches, to minimizing signal “noise,” to reducing the latency and power consumption that comes with any complex, multi-part electrical process, there have been many advances to various aspects of the technology—each building a little on a related advancement before it—to get us to the highly advanced state we enjoy today.

5. These advancements range from fundamental ones, which make basic touch technology work, to optional improvements, which typically represent one technological option that improves aspects of the user experience and functionality of a touchscreen. This infringement action is about the latter: several patented improvements—which took years of research and millions of dollars in U.S. investments to develop, and which are infringed by Defendant’s Accused Products.

## **PARTIES**

6. Plaintiff Neodron Ltd. is an Irish company, having its principal place of business at Unit 4-5, Burton Hall Road, Sandyford, Dublin 18, D18a094. Neodron is the sole owner by assignment of all right, title, and interest in each Asserted Patent.

7. On information and belief, Defendant HP Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 1501 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, California 94304.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

8. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant in this action because Defendant has committed acts within this District giving rise to this action, and has established minimum contacts with this forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. Defendant, directly and through subsidiaries or intermediaries, has committed and continues to commit acts of infringement in this District by, among other things, making, using, offering to sell, selling, and importing products that infringe the Asserted Patents.

10. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b). Defendant is registered to do business in Texas, and upon information and belief, Defendant has transacted business in this District and has committed acts of direct and indirect infringement in this District by, among other things, making, using, offering to sell, selling, and importing products that infringe the asserted patents. Defendant has a regular and established place of business in the District, including

data centers in Austin, Texas and offices at 3800 Quick Hill Road #100, Austin, Texas 78728. Defendant also advertises jobs available in Austin, Texas on its website.<sup>1</sup>

**COUNT I**

**INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,102,286**

11. Neodron realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

12. Neodron owns by assignment all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 8,102,286, entitled “Capacitive Keyboard with Non-Locking Reduced Keying Ambiguity.” The ’286 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on January 24, 2012. A true and correct copy of the ’286 Patent is attached as Exhibit 1.

13. On information and belief, Defendant makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, and/or imports certain products (“Accused Products”), such as the HP 15-bs289wm, that directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, claims 1-24 of the ’286 Patent.

14. Defendant also knowingly and intentionally induces infringement of claims 1-24 of the ’286 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Through the filing and service of this Complaint, Defendant has had knowledge of the ’286 Patent and the infringing nature of the Accused Products. Despite this knowledge of the ’286 Patent, Defendant continues to actively encourage and instruct its customers and end users (for example, through its user manuals and online instruction materials on its website) to use the Accused Products in ways that directly infringe the ’286 Patent. Defendant does so knowing and intending that its customers and end users will commit these

---

<sup>1</sup> See, e.g., <https://www.bizjournals.com/austin/stories/2006/05/15/daily15.html>; <https://www.datacenterknowledge.com/archives/2006/01/24/h-p-purchases-austin-complex-for-data-center>; <https://h30631.www3.hp.com/job/bengaluru/cybersecurity-iii/3544/12048040>; <https://h30631.www3.hp.com/job/bengaluru/cyber-security-engineer/3544/8853709>.

infringing acts. Defendant also continues to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Accused Products, despite its knowledge of the '286 Patent, thereby specifically intending for and inducing its customers to infringe the '286 Patent through the customers' normal and customary use of the Accused Products.

15. The Accused Products satisfy all claim limitations of claims 1-24 of the '286 Patent. A claim chart comparing independent claim 1 of the '286 Patent to a representative Accused Product, the HP 15-bs289wm, is attached as Exhibit 2.

16. By making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products, Defendant has injured Neodron and is liable for infringement of the '286 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

17. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the '286 Patent, Neodron is entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

18. Defendant's infringing activities have injured and will continue to injure Neodron, unless and until this Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement of the '286 Patent, and, specifically, enjoining further manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offers for sale that come within the scope of the patent claims.

**COUNT II**

**INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,847,898**

19. Neodron realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

20. Neodron owns by assignment all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 8,847,898, entitled “Signal-to-Noise Ratio in Touch Sensors.” The ’898 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on September 30, 2014. A true and correct copy of the ’898 Patent is attached as Exhibit 3.

21. On information and belief, Defendant makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, and/or imports certain products (“Accused Products”), such as the HP 15-da0073ms, that directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, claims 1-24 of the ’898 Patent.

22. Defendant also knowingly and intentionally induces infringement of claims 1-24 of at least claims 1-24 of the ’898 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Through the filing and service of this Complaint, Defendant has had knowledge of the ’898 Patent and the infringing nature of the Accused Products. Despite this knowledge of the ’898 Patent, Defendant continues to actively encourage and instruct its customers and end users (for example, through its user manuals and online instruction materials on its website) to use the Accused Products in ways that directly infringe the ’898 Patent. Defendant does so knowing and intending that its customers and end users will commit these infringing acts. Defendant also continues to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Accused Products, despite its knowledge of the ’898 Patent, thereby specifically intending for and inducing its customers to infringe the ’898 Patent through the customers’ normal and customary use of the Accused Products.

23. The Accused Products satisfy all claim limitations of claims 1-24 of the ’898 Patent. A claim chart comparing independent claim 1 of the ’898 Patent to a representative Accused Product, the HP 15-da0073ms, is attached as Exhibit 4.

24. By making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products, Defendant has injured Neodron and is liable for infringement of the '898 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

25. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the '898 Patent, Neodron is entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

26. Defendant's infringing activities have injured and will continue to injure Neodron, unless and until this Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement of the '898 Patent, and, specifically, enjoining further manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offers for sale that come within the scope of the patent claims.

### **COUNT III**

#### **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,451,237**

27. Neodron realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

28. Neodron owns by assignment all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 8,451,237, entitled "Sensitivity Control as a Function of Touch Shape." The '237 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on May 28, 2013. A true and correct copy of the '237 Patent is attached as Exhibit 5.

29. On information and belief, Defendant makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, and/or imports certain products ("Accused Products"), such as the HP 15-br095ms, that directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, claims 1-24 of the '237 Patent.

30. Defendant also knowingly and intentionally induces infringement of claims 1-24 of the '237 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Through the filing and service of this Complaint, Defendant has had knowledge of the '237 Patent and the infringing nature of the Accused Products. Despite this knowledge of the '237 Patent, Defendant continues to actively encourage and instruct its customers and end users (for example, through its user manuals and online instruction materials on its website) to use the Accused Products in ways that directly infringe the '237 Patent. Defendant does so knowing and intending that its customers and end users will commit these infringing acts. Defendant also continues to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Accused Products, despite its knowledge of the '237 Patent, thereby specifically intending for and inducing its customers to infringe the '237 Patent through the customers' normal and customary use of the Accused Products.

31. The Accused Products satisfy all claim limitations of claims 1-24 of the '237 Patent. A claim chart comparing independent claim 16 of the '237 Patent to a representative Accused Product, the HP 15-br095ms, is attached as Exhibit 6.

32. By making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products, Defendant has injured Neodron and is liable for infringement of the '237 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

33. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the '237 Patent, Neodron is entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

34. Defendant's infringing activities have injured and will continue to injure Neodron, unless and until this Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement of the '237 Patent,

and, specifically, enjoining further manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offers for sale that come within the scope of the patent claims.

**COUNT IV**

**INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,502,547**

35. Neodron realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

36. Neodron owns by assignment all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 8,502,547, entitled "Capacitive Sensor." The '547 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on August 6, 2013. A true and correct copy of the '547 Patent is attached as Exhibit 7.

37. On information and belief, Defendant makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, and/or imports certain products ("Accused Products"), such as the HP 15-bs289wm, that directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, claims 1-17 of the '547 Patent.

38. Defendant also knowingly and intentionally induces infringement of claims 1-17 of the '547 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Through the filing and service of this Complaint, Defendant has had knowledge of the '547 Patent and the infringing nature of the Accused Products. Despite this knowledge of the '547 Patent, Defendant continues to actively encourage and instruct its customers and end users (for example, through its user manuals and online instruction materials on its website) to use the Accused Products in ways that directly infringe the '547 Patent. Defendant does so knowing and intending that its customers and end users will commit these infringing acts. Defendant also continues to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Accused Products, despite its knowledge of the '547 Patent, thereby specifically intending for and

inducing its customers to infringe the '547 Patent through the customers' normal and customary use of the Accused Products.

39. The Accused Products satisfy all claim limitations of claims 1-17 of the '547 Patent. A claim chart comparing independent claim 1 of the '547 Patent to a representative Accused Product, the HP 15-bs289wm, is attached as Exhibit 8.

40. By making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products, Defendant has injured Neodron and is liable for infringement of the '547 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

41. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the '547 Patent, Neodron is entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

42. Defendant's infringing activities have injured and will continue to injure Neodron, unless and until this Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement of the '547 Patent, and, specifically, enjoining further manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offers for sale that come within the scope of the patent claims.

**COUNT V**

**INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,946,574**

43. Neodron realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

44. Neodron owns by assignment all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 8,946,574, entitled "Two-Layer Sensor Stack." The '574 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on February 3, 2015. A true and correct copy of

the '574 Patent is attached as Exhibit 9.

45. On information and belief, Defendant makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, and/or imports certain products (“Accused Products”), such as the HP 15-br095ms, that directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, claims 1-15 of the '574 Patent.

46. Defendant also knowingly and intentionally induces infringement of claims 1-15 of the '574 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Through the filing and service of this Complaint, Defendant has had knowledge of the '574 Patent and the infringing nature of the Accused Products. Despite this knowledge of the '574 Patent, Defendant continues to actively encourage and instruct its customers and end users (for example, through its user manuals and online instruction materials on its website) to use the Accused Products in ways that directly infringe the '574 Patent. Defendant does so knowing and intending that its customers and end users will commit these infringing acts. Defendant also continues to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Accused Products, despite its knowledge of the '574 Patent, thereby specifically intending for and inducing its customers to infringe the '574 Patent through the customers’ normal and customary use of the Accused Products.

47. The Accused Products satisfy all claim limitations of claims 1-15 of the '574 Patent. A claim chart comparing independent claim 1 of the '574 Patent to a representative Accused Product, the HP 15-br095ms, is attached as Exhibit 10.

48. By making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products, Defendant has injured Neodron and is liable for infringement of the '574 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

49. As a result of Defendant’s infringement of the '574 Patent, Neodron is entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant’s infringement, but in no

event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

50. Defendant's infringing activities have injured and will continue to injure Neodron, unless and until this Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement of the '574 Patent, and, specifically, enjoining further manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offers for sale that come within the scope of the patent claims.

**COUNT VI**

**INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,086,770**

51. Neodron realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

52. Neodron owns by assignment all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 9,086,770, entitled "Touch Sensor with High-Density Macro-Feature Design." The '770 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on July 21, 2015. A true and correct copy of the '770 Patent is attached as Exhibit 11.

53. On information and belief, Defendant makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, and/or imports certain products ("Accused Products"), such as the HP 15-br095ms, that directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, claims 1-15 of the '770 Patent.

54. Defendant also knowingly and intentionally induces infringement of claims 1-15 of the '770 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Through the filing and service of this Complaint, Defendant has had knowledge of the '770 Patent and the infringing nature of the Accused Products. Despite this knowledge of the '770 Patent, Defendant continues to actively encourage and instruct its customers and end users (for example, through its user manuals and online instruction materials on its website) to use the Accused Products in ways that directly infringe the '770 Patent.

Defendant does so knowing and intending that its customers and end users will commit these infringing acts. Defendant also continues to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Accused Products, despite its knowledge of the '770 Patent, thereby specifically intending for and inducing its customers to infringe the '770 Patent through the customers' normal and customary use of the Accused Products.

55. The Accused Products satisfy all claim limitations of claims 1-15 of the '770 Patent. A claim chart comparing independent claim 7 of the '770 Patent to a representative Accused Product, the HP 15-br095ms, is attached as Exhibit 12.

56. By making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products, Defendant has injured Neodron and is liable for infringement of the '770 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

57. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the '770 Patent, Neodron is entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

58. Defendant's infringing activities have injured and will continue to injure Neodron, unless and until this Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement of the '770 Patent, and, specifically, enjoining further manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offers for sale that come within the scope of the patent claims.

**COUNT VII**

**INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 10,088,960**

59. Neodron realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

60. Neodron owns by assignment all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 10,088,960, entitled “Sensor Stack with Opposing Electrodes.” The ’960 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 2, 2018. A true and correct copy of the ’960 Patent is attached as Exhibit 13.

61. On information and belief, Defendant makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, and/or imports certain products (“Accused Products”), such as the HP 15-br095ms, that directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, claims 1-17 of the ’960 Patent.

62. Defendant also knowingly and intentionally induces infringement of claims 1-17 of the ’960 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Through the filing and service of this Complaint, Defendant has had knowledge of the ’960 Patent and the infringing nature of the Accused Products. Despite this knowledge of the ’960 Patent, Defendant continues to actively encourage and instruct its customers and end users (for example, through its user manuals and online instruction materials on its website) to use the Accused Products in ways that directly infringe the ’960 Patent. Defendant does so knowing and intending that its customers and end users will commit these infringing acts. Defendant also continues to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Accused Products, despite its knowledge of the ’960 Patent, thereby specifically intending for and inducing its customers to infringe the ’960 Patent through the customers’ normal and customary use of the Accused Products.

63. The Accused Products satisfy all claim limitations of claims 1-17 of the ’960 Patent. A claim chart comparing independent claim 1 of the ’960 Patent to a representative Accused Product, the HP 15-br095ms, is attached as Exhibit 14.

64. By making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products, Defendant has injured Neodron and is liable for infringement of the ’960

Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

65. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the '960 Patent, Neodron is entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

66. Defendant's infringing activities have injured and will continue to injure Neodron, unless and until this Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement of the '960 Patent, and, specifically, enjoining further manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offers for sale that come within the scope of the patent claims.

### **COUNT VIII**

#### **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,821,502**

67. Neodron realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

68. Neodron owns by assignment all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 7,821,502, entitled "Two-Dimensional Position Sensor." The '502 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 26, 2010. A true and correct copy of the '502 Patent is attached as Exhibit 15.

69. On information and belief, Defendant makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, and/or imports certain products ("Accused Products"), such as the HP 15-br095ms, that directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, claims 1-24 of the '502 Patent.

70. Defendant also knowingly and intentionally induces infringement of claims 1-24 of the '502 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Through the filing and service of this Complaint, Defendant has had knowledge of the '502 Patent and the infringing nature of the Accused Products.

Despite this knowledge of the '502 Patent, Defendant continues to actively encourage and instruct its customers and end users (for example, through its user manuals and online instruction materials on its website) to use the Accused Products in ways that directly infringe the '502 Patent. Defendant does so knowing and intending that its customers and end users will commit these infringing acts. Defendant also continues to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Accused Products, despite its knowledge of the '502 Patent, thereby specifically intending for and inducing its customers to infringe the '502 Patent through the customers' normal and customary use of the Accused Products.

71. The Accused Products satisfy all claim limitations of claims 1-24 of the '502 Patent. A claim chart comparing independent claim 1 of the '502 Patent to a representative Accused Product, the HP 15-br095ms, is attached as Exhibit 16.

72. By making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products, Defendant has injured Neodron and is liable for infringement of the '502 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

73. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the '502 Patent, Neodron is entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

74. Defendant's infringing activities have injured and will continue to injure Neodron, unless and until this Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement of the '502 Patent, and, specifically, enjoining further manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offers for sale that come within the scope of the patent claims.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Neodron respectfully requests that this Court enter:

- a. A judgment in favor of Neodron that Defendant has infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '286 Patent, the '898 Patent, the '237 Patent, the '547 Patent, the '574 Patent, the '770 Patent, the '960 Patent, and the '502 Patent;
- b. A permanent injunction prohibiting Defendant from further acts of infringement of the '286 Patent, the '898 Patent, the '237 Patent, the '547 Patent, the '574 Patent, the '770 Patent, the '960 Patent, and the '502 Patent;
- c. A judgment and order requiring Defendant to pay Neodron its damages, costs, expenses, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest for Defendant's infringement of the '286 Patent, the '898 Patent, the '237 Patent, the '547 Patent, the '574 Patent, the '770 Patent, the '960 Patent, and the '502 Patent; and
- d. A judgment and order requiring Defendant to provide an accounting and to pay supplemental damages to Neodron, including without limitation, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
- e. A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to Neodron its reasonable attorneys' fees against Defendant; and
- f. Any and all other relief as the Court may deem appropriate and just under the circumstances.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Neodron, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

Dated: August 27, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Reza Mirzaie

Reza Mirzaie (CA SBN 246953)  
Email: rmirzaie@raklaw.com  
Marc A. Fenster (CA SBN 181067)  
Email: mfenster@raklaw.com  
Brian D. Ledahl (CA SBN 186579)  
Email: bledahl@raklaw.com  
Paul A. Kroeger (CA SBN 229074)  
Email: pkroeger@raklaw  
Philip X. Wang (CA SBN 262239)  
pwang@raklaw.com  
Neil A. Rubin (CA SBN 250761)  
Email: nrubin@raklaw.com  
Amy E. Hayden (CA SBN 287026)  
Email: ahayden@raklaw.com  
Shani Williams (SBN 274509)  
Email: swilliams@raklaw.com  
Kristopher R. Davis (IL SBN 6296190)  
Email: kdavis@raklaw.com  
Christian W. Conkle (CA SBN 306374)  
Email: cconkle@raklaw.com  
Kent N. Shum (CA SBN 259189)  
Email: kshum@raklaw.com  
**RUSS AUGUST & KABAT**  
12424 Wilshire Blvd. 12th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90025  
Phone: (310) 826-7474  
Fax: (310) 826-6991

Matthew D. Aichele (VA SBN 77821)  
Email: maichele@raklaw.com  
**RUSS AUGUST & KABAT**  
915 E Street NW, Suite 405  
Washington, DC 20004  
Phone: (310) 826-7474  
Fax: (310) 826-6991  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff Neodron Ltd.*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on August 27, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

John M. Guaragna  
Brian Erickson  
DLA PIPER LLP (US)  
401 Congress Avenue, Suite 2500  
Austin, TX 78701-3799  
Telephone: (512) 457-7000  
Facsimile: (512) 457-7001

Sean C. Cunningham (pro hac vice)  
Erin P. Gibson (pro hac vice)  
Robert Williams (pro hac vice)  
David R. Knudson (pro hac vice)  
DLA PIPER LLP (US)  
401 B Street, Suite 1700  
San Diego, CA 92101-4297  
Telephone: (619) 699-2700  
Facsimile: (619) 699-2701

Erik Fuehrer (pro hac vice)  
DLA PIPER LLP (US)  
2000 University Avenue  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2214  
Telephone: (650) 833-2000  
Facsimile: (650) 833-2001

Counsel for Defendant HP Inc.

/s/ Reza Mirzaie

Reza Mirzaie